UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/660,188 | 09/11/2003 | David E. Mayhew | 6257-14502 | 5820 |
| | 7590 02/24/201 , HOOD, KIVLIN, KO | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 398 | | | FOUD, HICHAM B | |
| AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2467 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/24/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent_docketing@intprop.com ptomhkkg@gmail.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/660,188 | MAYHEW ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | HICHAM B. FOUD | 2467 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 January 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | iance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be | filed within two month | e of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11 | l6 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | - |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:statement}). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Pankaj Kumar/ | /Hicham B Foud/ | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2467 | Examiner, Art Unit 2467 | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the additions/deletions to the claims such as claim 2 raises new issues that requires further consideration .